PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference RR/DAL/8475INT FOR FURTHER			ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. International filing data PCT/GB2004/003142 20.07.2004		International filing date (c 20.07.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.07.2003	
	rnational Patent Classification (IPC) o 9C67/00, B29C67/02, B29C67		С		
Applicant LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY ENTERPRISES LIMITED et al.					
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:			•		
	a. 🗵 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 4 sheets, as follows:				
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain a beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 Supplemental Box.				nsiders contain an amendment that goes dicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
	coguence listing and/or	al Bureau only) a total of (in tables related thereto, in co nce Listing (see Section 802	mputer readable torr	ber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a m only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).	
4.	This report contains indication	s relating to the following ite	ms:		
	☐ Box No. I Basis of the	sis of the opinion			
	☐ Box No. II Priority	•			
	☐ Box No. III Non-establis	shment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability	
		of invention			
	⊠ Box No. V Reasoned s applicability;	tatement under Article 35(2 citations and explanations	with regard to novel supporting such state	lty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	☐ Box No. VI Certain docu	uments cited			
		cts in the international appli			
	☑ Box No. VIII Certain obse	ervations on the internations	l application		
Date	e of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report	
	5 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.				
16.03.2005			18.10.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international			Authorized Officer	What Poisson	
prel	liminary examining authority: European Patent Office - NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pa		Mathey, X		
	Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx	: 31 651 epo nl	Telephone No. +31 70	0.340-2686	
_	FAX: +31/U 34U * 3U ID		TELEPHONE INC. TO 1 / C	-49 87130	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003142

	Box No. I Basis of the repor				
1.	With regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
	which is the language of a	nslations from the original language into the following language , translation furnished for the purposes of:			
	publication of the international preliminary	der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) v examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sh have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Description, Pages				
	1-19	as originally filed			
	Claims, Numbers	•			
	1-19	received on 19.05.2005 with letter of 19.05.2005			
Drawings, Sheets					
	1/8-8/8	as originally filed			
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.	☐ The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
	☐ the description, pages				
	☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	is			
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	pecify):			
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/fig	js			
	☐ the sequence listing (sp☐ any table(s) related to s	pecify): sequence listing (specify):			
	* If item 4 applies, s	some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/003142

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-14,16,17

No: Claims

15,18,19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-14,16,17 15,18,19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re. Item V

- 1.1 Reference is made to the following documents:
- D1: WO-A-0138061 (KOSHNEVIS) 31 May 2001 (2001-05-31)
- D2: US-A-6147138 (HÖCHSMANN ET AL.) 14 November 2000 (2000-11-14)
- 1.2 The following is stated under reference to item VIII, whereby it is to be noted that unclear features cannot be used for unambiguously distinguishing over prior art in order to assess novelty or inventive step.
- 2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS
- 2.1 Document D1 is regarded here as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1, and this document discloses a method of selectively sintering particulate material comprising the steps of
 - (i) providing a layer of particulate material,
 - (ii) providing radiation over the layer of particulate material,
 - (iii) varying the absorption of the provided radiation across a selected surface portion of the layer to sinter a portion of the material of the layer,
 - (iv) providing a further layer of particulate material overlying a prior layer of particulate material including a previously sintered portion of material,
 - (v) repeating steps (ii) and (iii) to sinter a further portion of the material within the overlying further layer and to sinter said further portion with the previously sintered portion of material in the prior layer
 - (vi) successively repeating steps (iv) and (v) to form a three-dimensional object, where step (iii) comprises varying the radiation absorption at the particulate material over the selected surface portion of the layer by providing varying amounts of *another material over portions of the layer outside of* the selected surface portion of the layer, cf. D1, claims 1, 2 and 28, page 6, line 17- page 7, line 5, and page 10, line 11 page 11, line 2.

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs therefrom in that said other material is radiation absorbent.

Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 is novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The objective problem solved by this technical feature can be construed as making a controlled use of the energy dispensed, by making the heat absorbed by the material to be sintered a controllable factor.

D2 discloses the use of a moderating agent to melt, or cause a chemical reaction of, a binder material coating on the particulate material, see col.3 lines 48-54 and claim 12. The hint that is given there to the skilled person is rather to influence the physical, chemical or biological properties of the powder.

Even though adjusting the energy absorptivity of a powder batch by adding a moderating material has been disclosed in the prior art, the disclosed prior art documents do not suggest an *ad hoc* addition of *varying amounts of* radiation absorbent material to *locally and selectively* modify the energy absorptivity of the powder. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 is inventive in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 2.2 Claim 14 differs from claim 1 only by minor changes in the wording and seems to describe a method that does not significantly differ from the method of claim 1. Since this method is not anticipated by the prior art, the method of claim 14 also fulfills the novelty requirements of Article 33(2), as well as the inventive activity requirements of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 2.3 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 15 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT:

D1 discloses an apparatus for sintering particulate material comprising a controller for enabling the exposure of a surface portion of a layer of particulate material to radiation, where the controller is arranged to control the variation of absorption across said surface portion by controlling the deposition of variable amounts of sintering inhibitor material over the layer of particulate material, cf. D1, page 8, lines 8-15. Under reference to item VIII 3, it is the opinion of the examining division that such an apparatus would also be suitable for depositing variable amounts of *radiation* absorbent material instead of sintering inhibitor material. Indeed, this feature relates to the use of the apparatus and cannot distinguish over D1's apparatus features, i.e.

the apparatus of D1 does not differ from the apparatus of claim 15, see PCT Guidelines 5.23.

Consequently, claim 15 does not either meet the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT regarding inventing activity.

3. DEPENDENT CLAIMS

- 3.1 Since it has been found that claim 1 fulfills the criteria of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT, the dependent claims 2-13 also fulfill these criteria.
- 3.2 The subject-matter of claim 16 differs from the apparatus disclosed in D2 in that the controller of the apparatus is responsive to temperature variation across the surface portion of a layer of particulate material. Therefore claim 16 fulfills the requirements of Article 33(2) regarding novelty.

 The concept of making the controller of a dispenser of additive material responsive to temperature variations is not disclosed in the retrieved prior art, nor are there any
 - temperature variations is not disclosed in the retrieved prior art, nor are there any elements to suggest it. Consequently, Claim 16 also fulfills the requirements of Article 33(3) PCT. Being dependent from claim 16, claim 17 therefore also meets the requirements of Article 33(2) and (3) PCT.
- 3.3 In view of the lack of clarity of claims 18 and 19, it cannot be confirmed, at this stage of the procedure, that they fulfill the requirements of Article 33(2) and Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4. Claims 1-19 fulfill the requirements of Article 33(4) PCT.

Re. item VII

1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1 and D2 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

- 2. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 3. The independent claims are not in the two part-form in accordance to Rule 6.3(b) PCT, with those features known in combination from the prior art being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(i) PCT) and the remaining features being included in the characterizing part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT), see also item V, point 2.1.

Re. item VIII

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 15, 18, 19 are not clear.

- 1. Claim 15 relates to an apparatus for sintering particulate material, but contains mainly results to be achieved, namely *enabling the exposure of a surface portion of a layer* and *controlling the variation of radiation absorption across said surface portion*, rather than a technical definition of the means employed to achieve these results, cf. PCT Guidelines 5.37.
- 2. Claims 18 and 19 do not comply with Rule 6.2(a) since they rely on the description in a vague way and do not contain clear technical features, cf. PCT Guidelines 5.10.
- 3. It is to be noted that the expressions "for sintering" or "for enabling", in claim 15, must be understood as "suitable for sintering", respectively "suitable for enabling" see PCT Guidelines 5.23 and 5.25.
- 4. The application contains 5 independent claims: claims 1, 14 and 18 relating to a method and claims 15 and 19 relating to an apparatus. Although they have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter in each category and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/003142

20

10/564575

<u>Claims</u>

IAP15 Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 JAN 2006

1. A method of selectively sintering particulate material, comprising the steps of:

5

10

- (i) providing a layer of particulate material;
- (ii) providing radiation over the layer of particulate material;
- (iii) varying the absorption of the provided radiation across a selected surface portion of the layer to sinter a portion of the material of the layer;
- (iv) providing a further layer of particulate material overlying a prior layer of particulate material including a previously sintered portion of material;
- (v) repeating steps (il) and (iii) to sinter a further portion of the material within the overlying further layer and to sinter said further portion with the previously sintered portion of material in the prior layer;
- (vi) successively repeating steps (iv) and (v) to form a threedimensional object;

20

15

 \cdot

characterised in that step (iii) comprises varying the radiation absorption at the particulate material over the selected surface portion of the layer by providing varying amounts of radiation absorbent material over the selected surface portion of the layer.

25

2. A method according to claim 1, wherein step (iii) comprises providing a first level of radiation absorption on a first area of the selected portion and a second different level of radiation absorption on a second area of the selected portion, contiguous with the first area.

30

3. A method according to claim 2, wherein step (lii) comprises providing a third different level of radiation absorption on a third area of the selected portion, contiguous with the second area.

10

25

30

- 4. A method according to claim 2 or claim 3, wherein step (i) comprises providing a first particulate material in the first area and a second different particulate material in the second area of the layer.
- 5. A method according to claim 1, wherein step (ii) comprises providing radiation on a combination area in which particulate material is to be sintered, the combination area including a centre portion and an edge portion, and step (iii) comprises providing greater radiation absorption at the edge portion than at the centre portion.
 - 6. A method according to claim 5, wherein the absorption of the radiation increases from a minimum value at the centre portion to a maximum value at the edge portion.
- 7. A method according to claim 5 or claim 6, wherein step (ii) comprises providing radiation on a non-combination area contiguous with, and external to, the combination area, and step (iii) comprises varying the absorption of the provided radiation so that the absorption of the radiation over the non-combination area is less than the absorption of the radiation over the edge portion of the combination area.
 - 8. A method according to claim 7, wherein the absorption of the radiation over the non-combination area is less than the absorption of the radiation over the centre portion of the combination area.
 - 9. A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein step (iii) comprises logically dividing the surface area of the selected portion into an array of segments, and providing a different level of radiation absorption on different segments in the array.
 - 10. A method according to claim 9, wherein step (iii) comprises creating a bitmap image that divides the surface area into a plurality of segments.

19 MAY. 2005 16:28

19-05-2005

5

10

15

20

25

- A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein step (iii) 11. comprises providing radiation absorbent material for absorbing a first wavelength of radiation over a first area of the selected surface portion, and providing radiation absorbent material for absorbing a second different wavelength of radiation over a second area of the selected surface portion.
- A method according to claim 11, wherein the method comprises 12. providing radiation having a first wavelength over the layer of particulate material to combine the material in the first area, and providing radiation having a second wavelength over the layer of particulate material to combine the material in the second area.
- A method according to any of the preceding claims, wherein step (ili) 13. comprises providing varying amounts of radiation absorbent material over the selected surface portion of the layer by printing radiation absorbent materials onto the selected surface portion.
- A method of selectively sintering particulate material, comprising the 14. steps of:

providing a layer of particulate material; (i)

- varying the absorption of provided radiation across a selected (ii) surface portion of the layer to sinter a portion of the material of the layer;
- providing a further layer of particulate material overlying the prior (iii) layer of particulate material including the previously sintered portion of material;
- varying the absorption of provided radiation across a selected (iv) surface portion of the further layer to sinter a further portion of the material within the overlying further layer and to sinter said further portion with the previously sintered portion of material in the prior layer;
- successively repeating steps (iii) and (iv) to form a three-(v) dimensional object;

characterised in that the variation of radiation absorption in steps (ii) and (iv) is obtained by providing varying amounts of radiation absorbent material over the selected surface portion of the layer and the further layer respectively.

- 15. Apparatus for sintering particulate material, the apparatus comprising a controller for enabling the exposure of a surface portion of a layer of particulate material to radiation, characterised in that the controller is arranged to control the variation of radiation absorption across said surface portion by controlling the deposition of varying amounts of radiation absorbent material over the layer of particulate material.
- 16. Apparatus according to claim 15, wherein the controller is responsive to temperature variation across the surface portion and is arranged to control the deposition of varying amounts of radiation absorbent material in response to the temperature variation.
- 17. Apparatus according to claim 16, wherein the controller is arranged to control the deposition of different radiation absorbent materials capable of absorbing different wavelengths of radiation directly onto the surface portion of the layer, and to enable the exposure of the surface portion to radiation of different wavelengths.
- 25 18. A method of selectively sintering particulate material substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.
 - 19. Apparatus for sintering particulate material substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

9-05-2005 _{1AY. 2005} 16:28

5

10

(